

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,337	09/18/2003	Rhonda L. Wilson	10003848-4	8390
7590 11/29/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			VO, ANH T N	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	O 80527-2400		2861	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			40
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	10/666,337	WILSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MANUALO DATE AND A	Anh t.n Vo	2861	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	:
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, n within the statutory minimum rill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2003.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		-
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is	6
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 42-81 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 42-81 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideratior		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the c	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in ab on is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received s have been received ity documents have t ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/17/2004</u>. 	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:	

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of the receipt of Preliminary Amendment filed 18 September 2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

The references cited on PTO 1449 have been considered

Claim Objection

Claim 53 is objected to in that "53" at line 1 should be corrected as --52--. Correction is required.

Double patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,337 Page 3

Art Unit: 2861

Claims 42, 44-45, 52-54, 56-57, 64-66, 68-71, and 78-80 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of Patent number 6,644,794. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim an ink container comprising:

- a collapsible ink reservoir;

- an outer container;

- an insert structure;

- pressure transducer; and

- a three-dimensional formed sheet.

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art reference (US Pat. 5,179,389; US Pat. 6,290343) cited in the PTO 892 form show a pressure sensor which is deemed to be relevant to the present invention. These references should be reviewed

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42-81 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer. These claims would be allowable because none of the prior art references of record discloses an ink container comprising an insert structure including a foam that is disposed in a collapsible ink reservoir for allowing the collapsible ink reservoir to resist collapse of the collapsible ink reservoir, whereby resistance to collapse controls an ink supply pressure versus remaining ink characteristic of the collapsible ink reservoir in the combination as claimed.

CONCLUSION

Art Unit: 2861

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Anh Vo. whose telephone number is (571) 272-2262.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.

The fax number of this Group 2800 is (703) 872-9306.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 24, 2004